

SPRECKELS MEMORIAL DISTRICT

MANAGEMENT REPORT

**FOR THE YEARS ENDED
JUNE 30, 2021 AND 2020**

SPRECKELS MEMORIAL DISTRICT

Management Report
For the Years Ended
June 30, 2021 and 2020

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Introduction.....	1
Required Communications.....	2-5

Board of Directors of the
Spreckels Memorial District
Spreckels, California

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements of the Spreckels Memorial District for the years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020, we considered the District's internal control structure to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the financial statements, and not to provide assurance on the internal control structure.

Our consideration of the internal control would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses under standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. A material weakness is a condition in which the design, or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce, to a relatively low level, the risk that errors or irregularities in amounts that would be material in relation to the basic financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions.

We will review the status of this letter during our next audit engagement. We will be pleased to discuss it in further detail at your convenience or to perform any additional study of these matters. We thank the District's staff for its cooperation on this audit.

Fechter & Company,
Certified Public Accountants


Sacramento, California
August 22, 2022

SPRECKELS MEMORIAL DISTRICT
Required Communications
For the Years Ended
June 30, 2021 and 2020

The Auditor’s Responsibility under U.S. Generally Accepted Auditing Standards

As stated in our engagement letter dated February 9, 2022, our responsibility, as described by professional standards, is to plan and perform our audit to obtain reasonable, but not absolute assurance that the financial statements are free of material misstatement, and are fairly presented in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Because an audit is designed to provide reasonable, but not absolute assurance, and because we did not perform a detailed examination of all transactions, there is a risk that material misstatements may exist and not be detected by us.

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the District’s internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting.

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit.

Significant Accounting Policies

Management is responsible for the selection and use of appropriate accounting policies. In accordance with the terms of our engagement letter, we will advise management about the appropriateness of accounting policies and their application. The significant accounting policies used by the District are described in Note 1 to the financial statements. No new accounting policies were adopted and the application of existing policies was not changed during the year. We noted no transactions entered into by the District during the year that were both significant and unusual, and of which, under professional standards, we are required to inform you, or transactions for which there is a lack of authoritative guidance or consensus.

Internal Control Related Matters

In any smaller entity, whether private enterprise or a governmental agency, the lack of segregation of duties can present potential issues in regards to the perpetuation and concealment of fraud. Specifically, the District has one individual who is primarily responsible for keeping the books and records, performing account reconciliations, collecting money, and making bank deposits. While we recognize that it may not be feasible to segregate duties due to lack of resources, we recommend that compensating controls be performed. Some of the controls would include:

- Having the Board of Directors review the monthly bank reconciliations and bank statements.
- Examining financial statements on a monthly basis.
- Examining a budget to actual report on a frequent basis.
- Examining a “profit & loss, previous year comparison” report on a frequent basis. This is a special report that your bookkeeping system can produce.

SPRECKELS MEMORIAL DISTRICT
Required Communications
For the Years Ended
June 30, 2021 and 2020

An effective District administrator would understand the major revenue sources, how the District expends its resources, and to be effectively able to identify major differences from expected balances by performing the above procedures. If a difference is identified and the District is unable to determine what happened with reasonable inquiries, District management has outside resources it can access to assist – it’s attorney, outside auditor, or the county auditor-controller’s office.

The main point is that due to lack of segregation of duties, the above compensating controls should be performed and follow up given if there are any questions or differences that are unable to be reconciled with reasonable inquiries.

California Government Code Section 12422.5 requires the State Controller’s office to develop internal control guidelines applicable to each local agency by January 1, 2015. The intent of the legislation is to assist local agencies in establishing a system of internal control to safeguard assets and prevent and detect financial errors and fraud. To this end, the State Controller’s Office has produced a draft of control guidelines for local Agencies. As the District contemplates changes to its system of internal control, we advise in utilizing these guidelines when developing internal procedures to assist with your internal control processes.

The State Controller’s office has defined internal controls into five components that work together in an integrated framework. Their guidelines were adopted from the definitions and descriptions contained in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework*, published by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The components are:

- Control Environment
- Risk Assessment
- Control Activities
- Information and Communication
- Monitoring Activities

The objective of *control environment* is the set of standards, processes, and structures that provided the basis for carrying out internal control across the entity. The governing board and management establish the “tone at the top” regarding the importance of internal control, including expected standards of conduct which then cascade down through the various levels of the organization and have a strong effect on the overall system of internal control.

A District’s *Risk Assessment* process includes how management identifies risks (including fraud risk) relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with the District’s applicable financial reporting framework. In addition, this would also involve areas of business and operational risk which could potentially affect the District’s finances on an ongoing basis.

The District’s risk assessment process is an extremely important activity the board and management should undertake. Every organization, public or private, faces business risks on a day to day basis. Identifying those risks and then acting on them in a timely manner may prevent future problems from becoming unmanageable.

SPRECKELS MEMORIAL DISTRICT
Required Communications
For the Years Ended
June 30, 2021 and 2020

Management should consistently attempt to identify risks that exist and then present those risks to the board for action. It is impossible for us to identify every potential risk that exists but either way, management and the board should proactively attempt to identify risks that could adversely affect the District's operations.

Control Activities are in reference to establishing policies and procedures that achieve management directives, and respond to identified risks in the internal control system. These are specific procedures designed to perform a secondary review of internal processes that will allow for segregation of duties and a management level review of processed transactions.

Information and Communication are the District's methods of identifying what information is relevant to present to management and the board to assist the District in making the correct decisions. It also is in reference to the District's internal processes of gathering and summarizing that information.

Monitoring involves evaluating the effectiveness of controls on an on-going basis and taking remedial actions when necessary when identified by the other control procedures in place. On-going monitoring activities often are built into the normal recurring activities of a local government and include regular management and supervisory activities.

There is no catch-all for finding all instances of fraud within any entity, whether public or private. One of the key factors in helping prevent fraud is to encourage ethical behavior at all levels of the organization, i.e., "tone at the top". Another key would be to note instances of abnormal behavior of finance or accounting staff when questioned about District financial matters.

The District should remember that they have outside resources available in the case of fraud – they are able to contact District auditor, their attorney, or county auditor-controller should anyone feel there is a chance of fraud or abuse.

Accounting Estimates

Accounting estimates are an integral part of the financial statements prepared by management and are based on management's knowledge and experience about past and current events and assumptions about future events. Certain accounting estimates are particularly sensitive because of their significance to the financial statements and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may differ significantly from those expected. The most sensitive estimate(s) affecting the financial statements were:

- Accrual and disclosure of compensated absences
- Capital asset lives and depreciation expense

SPRECKELS MEMORIAL DISTRICT
Required Communications
For the Years Ended
June 30, 2021 and 2020

Audit Adjustments

For purposes of this letter, professional standards define an audit adjustment as a proposed correction of the financial statements that, in our judgment, may not have been detected except through our auditing procedures. An audit adjustment may or may not indicate matters that could have a significant effect on the District's financial reporting process (that is, cause future financial statements to be materially misstated). The following audit adjustments, in our judgment, indicate matters that could have a significant effect on the District's financial reporting process:

- Posting of all GASB 34 entries on behalf of the District
- Posting of payroll and accounts payable accruals
- Posting of a grant receivable
- Posting of a prior period adjustment to clear out old liability balances

Disagreements with Management

For purposes of this letter, professional standards define a disagreement with management as a matter, whether or not resolved to our satisfaction, concerning a financial accounting, reporting, or auditing matter that could be significant to the financial statements or the auditor's report. We are pleased to report that no such disagreements arose during the course of our audit.

Consultations with Other Independent Auditors

In some cases, management may decide to consult with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters, similar to obtaining a "second opinion" on certain situations. If a consultation involves application of an accounting principle to the governmental unit's financial statements or a determination of the type of auditor's opinion that may be expressed on those statements, our professional standards require the consulting accountant to check with us to determine that the consultant has all the relevant facts. To our knowledge, there were no such consultations with other accountants.

Issues Discussed Prior to Retention of Independent Auditors

We generally discuss a variety of matters, including the application of accounting principles and auditing standards, with management each year prior to retention as the District's auditors. However, these discussions occurred in the normal course of our professional relationship and our responses were not a condition to our retention.

Difficulties Encountered in Performing the Audit

We encountered no significant difficulties during the audit.